24

25

26

27

28

1		*E-Filed: March 7, 2014*
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	NOT FOR	CITATION
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	ERIC BENEDICT, ET AL.,	No. C13-00119 LHK (HRL)
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE SUPPLEMENT TO
13	V.	DISCOVERY DISPUTE JOINT REPORT #2
14	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	[Re: Docket No. 156]
15	Defendant.	-
1.5		

Eric Benedict is a representative plaintiff in a conditionally certified FLSA collective action against defendant Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"). Before leaving HP, Benedict made a mirror image of his HP-issued laptop hard drive, which contained some personal information. After learning of the existence of the image, HP demanded it be returned and filed counterclaims against Benedict. With involvement of the presiding judge, the parties agreed to a process whereby a third party would attempt to segregate the contents of the image into proprietary HP information and Benedict's personal information.

While the segregation process was ongoing, the parties filed Discovery Dispute Joint Report #2 ("DDJR #2") to address HP's contention that Benedict was required to produce the entirety of the image in response to its previously served requests for production. In December 2013, the undersigned issued an interim order requesting an update on the segregation process and clarification as to whether allowing discovery on the entire hard drive image would frustrate the purpose of the segregation process. The parties' Supplement to DDJR #2 indicates that the

segregation process would be substantially completed in January, with lingering issues to be resolved thereafter through a meet and confer process. Over 99% of the information contained within the image has been deemed to belong to HP, and Benedict has provided HP with brief descriptions of the remaining personal information on a rolling basis.

With respect to this less than one percent of information returned to Benedict, upon completion of the segregation process, he shall timely produce any and all information, including metadata, that is responsive to HP's requests for production. Utilizing the descriptions of Benedict's information, HP may identify any potentially relevant information it believes was unreasonably withheld, and the parties shall meet and confer to resolve any resulting disputes. Based on the record presented, including the arguments of both sides in DDJR #2 and the supplement thereto, HP is not entitled to inspection of the hard drive at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2014

HOWARD L. LLOYD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1	C13-00119 Notice will be electronically mailed to:	
2	Adam T. Klein atk@outtengolden.com, aplatt@outtengolden.com, kar@outtengolden.com	
3	Caryn F Horner chorner@sidley.com, kmelendy@sidley.com, mhanhan@sidley.com, sfdocket@sidley.com, tscuffil@sidley.com	
4	Daniel M. Hutchinson dhutchinson@lchb.com	
5	David Ryan Carpenter drcarpenter@sidley.com	
6	Jahan C. Sagafi jsagafi@outtengolden.com	
7	Jennifer Lin Liu jliu@outtengolden.com	
8 9	Juno E. Turner jturner@outtengolden.com, jlyons@outtengolden.com, mhendriksen@outtengolden.com	
10	Kelly M. Dermody kdermody@lchb.com	
11	Marc Pilotin mpilotin@lchb.com, ajones@lchb.com	
12	LAlegria@Sidley.com	
13	Max Fischer mfischer@sidley.com, dgiusti@sidley.com	
14	Wendy M. Lazerson wlazerson@sidley.com, kmelendy@sidley.com, SFLitScan@Sidley.com	
15 16	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		